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| Application for Center’s Confirmatory Evaluation on Essential Patent (Note 1)Date: MM/DD/20 To: Japan Intellectual Property Arbitration CenterApplicant: (Note 2)Address (residence)Name (entity name)(Representative) (Seal)Contact Person: Dept.:Name: (Seal)Phone No.:Fax No.:E-mail:Agent for Applicant: (Note 3)Address (residence):Name: Patent Attorney(/Attorney-at-law) (Seal)Phone No.:Fax No.:E-mail:1. Particulars of the patent for which essential patent evaluation for Ultra High Definition Television Satellite Broadcasting (UHDTV) has already been granted (hereinafter referred to as the “Present Patent”) for which this confirmatory evaluation is applied:* 1. Patent No.:
	2. Claim(s) and subject standard (Note 4)：

Case No. of application for essential patent evaluation for which essential patent evaluation has already been granted:The date of written essential patent evaluation2. Purport of the ApplicationThe applicant hereby demands a confirmatory evaluation to confirm the fact that, in the case of application for essential patent evaluation identified above, the Present Patent has already been granted an essential patent evaluation in respect of the subject portions of the standard consisting exclusively of the ARIB Standards STD-B24, STD-B25, and STD-B32 included in Appendix 1-2 “Subject Technical Standards for Digital Cable Broadcasting Pool" of the Procedural Rules for Essential Patent Evaluation.3. Attached Materials (see Reference to Materials in Note 2)1. A document certifying qualification of the representative (Certificate of Qualification of Representative) if the applicant is a juridical person One original
2. A document certifying the agent’s power of representation (Power of Attorney) if an agent is appointed One original

4. Supplemental Comments: (See Note 4 (iii)) |

(Note 1)

1. The application form for Confirmatory Evaluation on Essential Patent shall be submitted through electronic medium. When submitting the electronic medium, please contact the Center's Secretariat in advance to obtain guidance as to how to submit, and submit it in accordance with that instruction.
2. “Duplicate” means a copy of an original (if a seal is required to be affixed thereon, this means a copy of the original on which the seal is affixed), on which no seal is required to be affixed.

(Note 2)

[Representative]

If the applicant is a juridical person and no agent is appointed on its behalf, it is required that the name of a person who is registered as a representative in the certificate of qualification of the representative submitted as an attachment be provided and the representative's seal be affixed. However, if an agent is appointed, the seal of the applicant’s representative is not required.

[Contact Person]

If the applicant’s representative and the person who actually handles the case are different, the contact information of the contact person shall be provided and his/her seal be affixed. Any amendment to form or content after receipt of the written application shall be conducted in the name of the contact person, and the representative’s seal is not required to be affixed again.

[Omission of Seal and Reference to Materials]

The representative's seal may be omitted in this written confirmatory evaluation application if the representative, etc. in the application for essential patent evaluation that has already granted an essential patent evaluation has not been changed, and the certificate of qualification and the power of attorney as filed in the prior essential patent evaluation application may be referred to in this written application as mandatory attachments.

(Note 3) Please provide only if an agent is appointed. Contact information shall be provided.

(Note 4)

1. The standard number/title of the standard/date of establishment [revision]/version number of the standard for which the prior essential patent evaluation was applied shall be provided. No description of an individual subject portion of the standard in the said standard is required.
2. If essential patent evaluation is granted for multiple claims in respect of different subject standards, this item shall be provided for each of the claims.
3. Center’s Confirmatory Evaluation on Essential Patent can be applied for in respect of the patent for which essential patent evaluation has been granted for the subject portion of the standard consisting exclusively of the ARIB Standards STD-B24, STD-B25 and STD-B32 included in Appendix 1-2 “Subject Technical Standards for Digital Cable Broadcasting Pool" of the Procedural Rules for Essential Patent Evaluation.
4. If independent multiple purports of the application in respect of the same claim have been filed and essential patent evaluation is granted for them,

・If one of the purports of the application is related only to the ARIB standards STD-B24, STD-B25 and STD-B32 in the list of standards in Appendix 1-2 “Subject Technical Standards for Digital Cable Broadcasting Pool" attached to the Procedural Rules for Essential Patent Evaluation (i.e, the list of the broadcasting standards in this case), it can be the subject of confirmatory evaluation.

・In the case of essential patent evaluation granted in the ARIB Standard other than the ARIB standards STD-B24, STD-B25 and STD-B32 in the list of standards in Appendix 1-2, it cannot be the subject of confirmatory evaluation.

[Example] (Case 1) If the purports of the application for essential patent evaluation already granted are both of “It is determined that Claim 1 is essential to of the ARIB Standard STD-B10.” and “It is determined that Claim 1 is essential to of the ARIB Standard STD-B32.”, both of which have been granted essential patent evaluation, then the latter essential patent evaluation that “It is determined that Claim 1 is essential to of the ARIB Standard STD-B32.” can be the subject of application for confirmatory evaluation.

(Case 2) If the purport of the application for essential patent evaluation already granted is

“It is determined that Claim 1 is essential to of the ARIB Standard STD-B10 and of STD-B32.”, which has been granted essential patent evaluation, then it cannot be the subject of application for confirmatory evaluation.

END